UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,276	04/22/2004	Tomoki Ohkawa	Q81191	6463
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 01/28/200 5 <b>550</b>		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW		CASCHERA, ANTONIO A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/829,276	OHKAWA, TOMOKI				
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Antonio A. Caschera	2628				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Antonio A. Caschera.	(3)					
(2) <u>Diallo T. Crenshaw</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	<b>)</b> ]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: independent claims.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Tam</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="Applicant's Representative explained the invention and how it differs from the cited prior art of Tam.">Applicant's Representative explained the invention and how it differs from the cited prior art of Tam.</a> In particular, the limitation of "an image control sectionperiodically extractsat intervals of the predetermined time" (found in at least claim 1) was discussed and explained versus the teachings of Tam. Examiner agreed that such a limitation was not explicitly recited by Tam and that a further prior art search would be conducted.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Antonio A Caschera/						
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628						